Memorandum

Date:

April 16, 2010

To:

Office of Inspections

From:

DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

Border Division

File No.:

601.9857.16472

Subject:

CALEXICO INSPECTION FACILITY'S EXCEPTIONS RESPONSE TO

FISCAL CONTROLS INSPECTION

Attached is Calexico Inspection Facility's Exceptions response to the Fiscal Controls Inspection recently conducted by Departmental personnel.

The Area commander has closely reviewed the findings and recommendations contained within the final report and concurs with the evaluator's findings.

I concur with the commander's actions in this matter and am satisfied identified deficiencies are being properly addressed.

G. A. DOMINGUEZ, Chief

Attachment

cc: Calexico IF

Page

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4
Fiscal Controls

Command:	Division:	Number:	
Calexico	Border	626	
Evaluated by:		Date:	
Sgt. Billy J. King	, #13098	03-30-2010	
Assisted by:		Date:	

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the memorandum shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.

TYPE OF INSPECTION			Lead Inspe	ector's Signatu	ıre:		
☐ Division Level		☑ Command Level					
☐ Office of Inspec	ctions [☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection					
Follow-up R	Follow-up Required: Yes No Follow-Up Inspection		Commande Q.	er's Signature	rub		Date: 03-30-2010
For applicable policies, refer to State Administrative Manual (SAM), HPM 11.1, Chapter 4, and HPM 11.2, Chapter 2.							
approving preparing	paperwork rela	volved in reviewing and ted to receiving and	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
Does the command have Standard Operating Procedures (SOP) to provide necessary guidelines for overall management and accountability of receiving and preparing collections?		☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:		
3. Does the command have adequate separation of duties for collections received?		☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:		
Does the command have adequate separation of duties for the cash receipt process?		☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:		
5. Is access t restricted?	o the safe and/	or vault appropriately	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
		h identifies who has access d when changes in access	Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
number of combination requires ac	employees weren, transferred occess?	out of the Area, or no longer	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
8. Is the safe	securely ancho	red to the building?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:	
	transmittal rep with departme	orts prepared in ental policy?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
Manageme	nt Section (FM	eport(s) submitted to Fiscal S) within five working days d by the report?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

INSPECTION PROGRAM

Chapter 4 Fiscal Controls

a in govern

11.	 Does the command submit the following forms with the weekly transmittal when applicable? (1) CHP 265, Sale of Discarded Tires/Junk Batteries/Used Rotors. (2) CHP 36, Evidence/Property Receipt/Report (Unclaimed Property). (3) STD 634, Absence and Additional Time Worked Report, for jury duty. (4) CHP 221, Malicious Damage Report. (5) CHP 464, Traffic Control Cost Estimate – Advance Deposit. (6) Civil subpoena. 	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is a memorandum for cash shortages prepared if necessary?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Does the command ensure the information written on the counter receipt is complete and legible?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Was a counter receipt issued for each witness fee deposit received?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Was a counter receipt issued for each movie, wide-load, and special event detail(s)?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Was each counter receipt issued for each sale, including the sale of discarded tires, junk batteries, used rotors, and other cash received?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
17.	Is sales tax added to items that are not for resale?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Are all counter receipts pre-numbered and issued in numerical sequence?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Were transfers of counter receipt books/certificates between field commands reported on a CHP 266A, Credit Memo - Non- Equipment?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the STD 439, Disbursement Voucher, properly authorized and completed to support expenditure?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
	Are the CHP 264, Petty Cash Replenishment Requests, completed at least monthly if over \$10.00, quarterly if under \$10.00, and on June 30 of each fiscal year?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is the CHP 264 properly authorized?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Does the total amount of cash, receipts on hand, and receipts in transit equal the total of petty cash and change funds?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Is there documentation to support periodic reviews of petty cash and change funds performed by the commander or designated person?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
25.	Are overages and shortages of the petty cash funds reported to Fiscal Management Section?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Command:	Division:	Chapter:
Calexico	Calexico Border	
Inspected by:		Date:
Sgt. B. King, # 13098		03/30/2010

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans, and may be used to appeal findings. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required. TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level Appeal Included ☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Attachments Included Forward to: Commander's Signature: Date: Follow-up Required: **Border Division** ☐ Yes ⊠ No Due Date: 3.30.2010 Chapter Inspection: Chapter 4 Fiscal Controls Inspector's Comments Regarding Innovative Practices: None. Command Suggestions for Statewide Improvement: None. Inspector's Findings: The inspection results determined the command is in compliance with Departmental policy. The command does not process Accident Reports and Counter receipts. The command rarely processes subpoena's and witness fees. In fact, the command has not processed a civil subpoena in over a year. Commander's Response: None. Inspector's Comments:

Required Action

Corrective Action Plan/Timeline

None.

None.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2

Command: Calexico IF	Division: Border	Chapter:
Inspected by: Sgt. B. King, # 13098		Date: 03/30/2010

Appeal Process: (Appeals shall be filed within five (5) b	usiness days of the completed chapter inspection).
Commander's Basis for Appeal:	
Annual Pavious/Decision: (This should be at	· Comment
Appeal Review/Decision: (This shall be the only level	of appeal).
Lead Inspector's/Signature;	Date:
JUL III	03/30/2010
Responding Commander's Signature (for appeal):	Date: 03/30/2010

